

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM-United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,157	12/05/200	Kwang Hyup An	3449-0184P	2260	
2292	7590 08/	2/2004	EXA	MINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			KRISHNAMU	KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
	, JRCH. VA 2204	0-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

3753 DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/002,157	AN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
· ·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

This office action is responsive to amendment filed 04/22/2004.

Claims 1 - 20 are pending.

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Each of the independent claims 1 and 14 recite the limitation "said plurality of continuous grooves being located beyond a perimeter of said suction plate or said discharge plate". The other independent claim 12 recites the limitation "the groove being located beyond a perimeter of said suction plate or said discharge plate". This limitation is confusing in that the groove(s) in the instant application are disposed on the discharge or the suction plate but perhaps are located beyond the perimeter of suction port or the perimeter of the discharge port. In this office action it has been assumed that the groove or grooves are located beyond the perimeter of suction port or the perimeter of the discharge port.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3753

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1 3, 7, 11,14 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A 2D and specification page 1, line 12 page 4, line 1 in view of Dennedy (US 1,416,696).

The Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses a valve plate structure comprising:

An open/shut means (20, 26) for inhaling and discharging fluid through movement of piston (19); and

A valve plate (22) including a suction port (221) coupled with the open/shutting means (20) through piston movement, a discharge port (222) for discharging fluid.

The prior art (Figs. 1, 2A - 2D and specification page 1, line 12 - page 4, line 1) discloses the invention with the exception disclosing a plurality of continuous grooves provided to surround the outside of the suction port or the discharge port.

Dennedy discloses a compressor with a discharge port (16) open/closed by a valve member (21) wherein the valve seat is provided with a plurality of continuous

Art Unit: 3753

grooves for the purpose of lessening the stiction between the valve (21) and the valve seat associated therewith.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the suction port or the discharge port with a plurality of continuous grooves surrounding the port for the purpose of lessening the stiction between the valve and the valve seat associated therewith, as recognized by Dennedy ('696).

Regarding Claim 2, it is noted that the device disclosed in the Prior Art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses:

A suction valve (20) having a suction plate (201) at a position corresponding to suction port (221) of the valve plate (22) to intake fluid through piston movement;

A discharge valve (26) having a discharge plate (261) at a position corresponding to discharge port (222) of the valve plate (22) to discharge fluid; and

A head cover (28) having a suction tube (281) formed at a position corresponding to the suction port (221) and a discharging tube (282) formed at a position corresponding to the discharging port (222) of the valve plate (22) (see page 2 of the specification, lines 14 - 19)

Regarding Claim 3, it is noted that the compressor of the prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses the fluid to be a coolant (page 1, line 24).

Art Unit: 3753

Regarding claim 11, it is noted that the open/shut means in the device according to the Prior Art (Figs. 1, 2A - 2D and specification page 1, line 12 - page 4, line 1) is operated via pressure difference resulting from the piston movement.

Regarding claim 14, it is noted that is essentially a combination of the claims 1, 2 and 3 whose individual rejections have been set forth above. Recitations pertaining to the linear movement of the piston are disclosed in the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) specifically at page 1, line 21 – page 2, line 1).

Regarding claim 15, it is noted that the prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1) discloses (Page 1, lines 16 – 18) that the valve plate, discharging valve, the suction valve and the head cover are coupled via a bolt.

7. Claims 4-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A-2D and specification page 1, line 12-page 4, line 1 in view of Dennedy (US 1,416,696) as applied to claims 1-3, 7, 11 and 14-17 and further in view of Kapadia (US 5,228,468).

The combination of Prior Art and Dennedy as set forth above, discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing the specific geometric properties of the grooves.

Kapadia ('468) discloses a valve plate structure comprising:

An open/shut means (10) for inhaling and discharging fluid in compressible fluid handling apparatus (13) through ports in a valve plate or valve seat (14), with a plurality

Art Unit: 3753

of cavities (18) surrounding the port (16) for the purpose of damping the progress of the valve member towards it seat thereby minimizing the contact shock between the valve and the seat (Col. 1, lines 52 – 55). While Kapadia discloses the use of cavities, the teachings provided therein are also applicable to grooves since grooves are nothing more than continuous realization of a series of cavities, with the grooves representing continuous plenum chambers whereas cavities represent discrete pockets of plenum chambers.

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Kapadia ('468) discloses that the plurality of cavities (18) and thus the grooves could have different widths in order to vary the damping effect (Col. 3, lines 1, 2).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 8, 9 and 10 it is noted that Kapadia ('468) discloses that the plurality of cavities (18) could have different geometries in order to vary the damping effect (Col. 3, lines 1, 2). Kapadia ('468) further discloses (Col. 2, lines 31 – 33) that cavities with non-circular shapes could be provided.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in the combination of Prior Art and Dennedy, grooves with various geometric properties for the purpose of providing desired damping effect as recognized by Kapadia.

8. Claims 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1 in view of Erickson (US 5,452,994).

Art Unit: 3753

The prior art (Figs. 1, 2A - 2D and specification page 1, line 12 - page 4, line 1) discloses the invention with the exception disclosing a spiral groove provided to surround the outside of the suction port or the discharge port.

Erickson discloses a spiral groove (134, 136) provided on the outside of the port (102) associated with the valve (56) for the purpose of providing a reduction in stiction forces between the valve and its seat.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a spiral groove provided to surround the outside of the suction port or the discharge port for the purpose of providing a reduction in stiction forces between the valve and its seat, as recognized by Erickson.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Prior art (Figs. 1, 2A – 2D and specification page 1, line 12 – page 4, line 1 in view of Erickson (US 5,452,994) as applied to claim 12 and further in view of Kapadia (US 5,228,468).

The combination of Prior Art and Erickson as set forth above discloses the invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing the width of the groove to increase.

Kapadia discloses (as discussed above) the use of plenum chambers of varying widths associated with the suction/discharge ports for the purpose of providing desire damping of the valve movement.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in the combination of Prior Art and Erickson, a

spiral groove whose width increases as it increases outward from the port, for the purpose of providing desire damping of the valve movement, as recognized by Kapadia.

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 04/22/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is arguing that grooves in Dennedy reference are not continuous. Examiner disagrees with this assertion because in Figures 1 and 2 the grooves surrounding the port are shown in cross-section and the top of the grooves are open and thus clearly indicate that the grooves are continuous. If the grooves were not continuous, in a cross-section view they will not have open top region. Further evidence also comes from the disclosure of Kapadia (Col. 1, lines 24 – 27) that it was known in the art to use continuous grooves. Applicant's argument regarding the Erickson

reference is that it fails to teach grooves located beyond a perimeter of the valve. However, as clearly set forth above, such a limitation is not consistent with the applicant's disclosure. In the applicant's invention the groove(s) are located only beyond the perimeter of the suction/discharge port.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (703) 305 - 5295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Scherbel, can be reached on (703) 308 - 1272. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872 – 9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0861.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753